

United States Patent and Trademark Office

لهم

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/054,036	01/22/2002	Gradus Janssen	YOR920010266US2 (590.071)		
35195 75	90 07/26/2004	EXAMINER		NER	
FERENCE & ASSOCIATES			GARBOWSKI, LEIGH M		
400 BROAD ST PITTSBURGH,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2825		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/054,036	JANSSEN, GRADUS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leigh Marie Garbowski	2825	B			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	<u> April 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	er.					
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 March 2002</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat ority documents have been received in the contract of the contract	ion No ed in this National St	tage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)			

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "package", "method", and "program storage device" complete with their associate features must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of

Art Unit: 2825

any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: the language "adapted to" is not a positive limitation and should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 8, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The feature of "at least a partial order among the nodes is maintained" is not adequately supported by the original disclosure. The original disclosure provides support for strict ordering, however, support for the scope of a partial order maintained is not found. Thus, a person of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to make and/or use the invention because the specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2825

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 8 and 15 provides for the use of "whereby the use of pointers is precluded", but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 6 and 13 provides for the use of "avoid[ing] the use of reference counts", but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 6, 8, 13, 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Art Unit: 2825

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-10 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Biere ["The ABCD package"].

As per claim 1, Biere discloses a BDD package comprising: an arrangement for identifying at least two nodes in a graph [paragraph 1]; said identifying arrangement being adapted to assign integer numbers to different nodes, whereby the use of pointers is precluded [paragraphs 3-4]. As per claim 2, Biere further discloses wherein said identifying arrangement is adapted to assign consecutive integer numbers to different nodes [paragraph 3]. As per claim 3, Biere further discloses wherein said identifying arrangements is adapted to assign to a given node an integer number which coincides with an index in a memory array in which the node resides [paragraphs 1 and 9]. As per claim 6, Biere further discloses wherein said identifying arrangement is adapted to avoid the use of reference counts [paragraph 2]. As per claim 7, Biere further discloses wherein the graph is a DAG [by definition, the examiner takes Official Notice that a BDD is a DAG representation of a function].

As per claims 8-10 and 13-15, Biere discloses the features of the method and program storage device as well as the BDD package outlined above.

Art Unit: 2825

Claims 1-5, 8-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Biliris ["An Efficient Database Storage Structure for Large Dynamic Objects"].

As per claim 1, Biliris discloses a package comprising: an arrangement for identifying at least two nodes in a graph [page 302, section 3, first paragraph]; said identifying arrangement being adapted to assign integer numbers to different nodes, whereby the use of pointers is precluded [pages 303-304, sections 3.1 and 3.2, figures 3 and 4]. As per claim 2, Biliris further discloses wherein said identifying arrangement is adapted to assign consecutive integer numbers to different nodes [figures 3 and 4]. As per claim 3, Biliris further discloses wherein said identifying arrangement is adapted to assign to a given node an integer number which coincides with an index in a memory array in which the node resides [page 302, section 3, paragraph 1, figure 1]. As per claim 4, Biliris further discloses wherein said identifying arrangement is adapted to access an indexed node via a paging access scheme [pages 302-303, section 3, paragraphs 1-2; page 304, section 3.3]. As per claim 5, Biliris further discloses wherein said identifying arrangement is adapted to access an indexed node via a two-step paging access scheme [pages 302-303, section 3, paragraphs 1-2; page 304, section 3.3].

As per claims 8-12 and 15, Biliris discloses the features of the method and program storage device as well as the package outlined above.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,036 Page 7

Art Unit: 2825

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh Marie Garbowski whose telephone number is 571-272-1893. The examiner can normally be reached on days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LEIGH M. GARBOWSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER